Literature Review Rubric

Name:							
	Developing	Competent	Exemplary				
Part I: Assignmen							
Appropriate level of communication	 Language is often inappropriate for the audience. Definitions of important concepts are unclear or wordy. 	 Language is occasionally over or under the audience's level. Most important concepts are concisely and clearly defined. 	 Language is appropriate for an educated but uninformed audience. All important concepts are concisely and clearly defined. 				
Appropriate selection of five nursing research articles	 Fewer than five articles, out-dated articles, or non-primary research articles are included. The connection between the articles and the purpose is missing. 	 At least five nursing research articles published since 1997 are included. The connection between some of the articles and the purpose is unclear. 	 □ At least five nursing research articles published since 1997 are included. □ All articles are relevant to the purpose. 				
Appropriate submission	 Literature review submitted more than 24 hours after deadline without faculty pre-approval. Eight-page limit exceeded by more than one page. 	 Literature review submitted within 24 hours of deadline without faculty pre-approval. Eight-page limit exceeded by one page. 	 Literature review submitted on-time Eight-page limit honored. 				
Title page	 Some required information is missing. Extraneous information is included. 	 All required information is included. Some extraneous information is included. 	 All required information is included No extraneous information is included. 				
Abstract	 The abstract is not a good reflection of the literature review. The abstract is excessively wordy. 	The abstract is a description of the literature review, but it is sometimes unclear or wordy.	□ An accurate and concise description of the literature review is provided, including background, purpose, method, results, and conclusion.				
Introduction	 Background information is not clearly articulated. Relevance to nursing is unclear. Research question or purpose statement is unidentifiable. Main discussion points are not identified in the purpose statement. 	 Background information is at times unclear or uninteresting. Relevance to nursing could be more clearly articulated. Research question or purpose statement could be stated more clearly and concisely. Main discussion points could be more clearly articulated. 	 Background information is engaging and leads to a clear purpose statement. Relevance to nursing is articulated well. Research question or purpose statement is clear and concise. Two or three main discussion points of the literature review are clearly identified in the purpose statement. 				
Method	 Details about article selection are lacking or wordy. Number and type of articles are not included. 	 Details about article selection are occasionally unclear or wordy. Number and type of articles included could be more clearly stated. 	 Concise details are provided about article selection, including search engines, search terms, and inclusion/exclusion criteria. Number and type of articles included are clearly stated. 				
Results	 Comparison and contrast of findings are lacking. Discussion of findings does not relate well to the main discussion points in the purpose statement. Study limitations are not mentioned. Gaps (what is unknown and needs to be researched) and controversies that exist in the literature are not discussed. 	 □ Comparison and contrast of findings are provided but lack thoroughness. □ Discussion of findings could relate better to the main discussion points in the purpose statement. □ Study limitations are discussed, but possible connections to differences in findings are not clearly identified. □ Gaps and controversies in the literature are discussed, but clarity could be enhanced. 	 Thorough comparison and contrast of findings are provided and relate to the main discussion points in the order of their appearance in the purpose statement. Focus is on research findings rather than research methods. Study limitations that might have led to different findings are discussed. Gaps and controversies that exist in the literature are clearly discussed. 				
Conclusion	 A summary of the main points is not clearly presented. Implications for nursing practice are absent, illogical, irrelevant, or unclear. 	 A summary of the main points is presented, but clarity could be enhanced. Implications for nursing practice are included but lack logic, relevance, or clarity. 	 A summary of the main points is clearly articulated. Implications for nursing practice are logical, relevant, and clear. 				
Part II: Language St	tyle Issues						
Anthropomorphism	Anthropomorphism is prevalent throughout the literature review.	Anthropomorphism is seen occasionally in the literature review.	Anthropomorphism is avoided throughout the literature review.				
Paragraphs	 Many paragraphs lack clearly identifiable topic sentences. Many paragraphs contain multiple topics and are difficult to follow. 	 Most paragraphs begin with a clearly identifiable topic sentence. Some paragraphs include more than one topic. 	 Each paragraph begins with a clearly identifiable topic sentence. The content of each paragraph is closely associated with its topic 				

	Developing	Competent	Exemplary	
	Transitions between paragraphs	Transitions between paragraphs are	sentence.	
	are rough.	sometimes rough.	□ Transitions are smooth.	
Logical flow of ideas	□ The arrangement of content is haphazard and difficult to follow.	□ The overall arrangement is logical but is occasionally difficult to follow.	The reader is guided smoothly through the logically arranged paper.	
Details of writing	 Economy of expression is lacking. Passive voice is used >30%. Parallel ideas frequently are not expressed in parallel format. Appropriate professional voice is often lacking. Absolute language is used repeatedly. Subjects and verbs do not agree in many cases. Multiple verb tenses are used that do not fit the context. Pronoun-antecedent agreement and clarity are missing repeatedly. Errors in sentence structure are prevalent. Spelling and punctuation errors are common. First person pronouns are used frequently. There is little or no evidence of proof-reading. 	 Economy of expression needs improvement in some instances. Passive voice is used 20-30%. Parallel ideas are usually expressed in parallel format. Appropriate professional voice is used in most cases. Absolute language is used occasionally. Subjects and verbs agree in most cases. Inappropriate verb tense is used occasionally. Pronoun-antecedent agreement and clarity could be improved. Sentence structure could be improved in some cases Some spelling and punctuation errors are present. Some first person pronouns are used. There is insufficient evidence of proof-reading. 	 Economy of expression is consistently evident. Passive voice is used <20%. Parallel ideas are expressed in parallel format. Appropriate professional voice is used consistently. Absolute language is avoided. Subjects and verbs agree. Appropriate verb tense is used. Pronoun and antecedents agree and are clear. Proper sentence structure is used. Punctuation and spelling are correct. First person pronouns have not been used. There is evidence of sufficient proof-reading. 	
Part III: APA format	and design	proor routing.	1	
APA format	 Multiple formatting errors are present. Title page Abstract Introduction Method Results Conclusion 	 A few minor formatting errors are noted. Title page Abstract Introduction Method Results Conclusion 	 Proper formatting is used throughout the literature review. Title page Abstract Introduction Method Results Conclusion 	
References	 Multiple formatting errors occurred in the reference list. One or more reference does not have a matching citation. 	 A minor formatting error or two are noted in the reference list. Each reference has a matching citation(s). 	 Proper formatting is used throughout the reference list. Each reference has a matching citation(s). 	
Citations	 Multiple citation errors are noted. One or more citation does not have a matching reference. 	 One to three citation errors are noted. Each citation has a matching reference. 	 Citations are formatted correctly. Each citation has a matching reference. 	
Font	 An improper type or size of font is used. Bold font is used occasionally. 		 12-point Times New Roman or Courier font is used No bold font is used 	
Margins	 One-inch margins are not used. Page number and page header are absent or typed rather than inserted at the top of each page. 		 One-inch margins are used. Page number and page header are inserted at the top of each page. 	
Spacing	 Double-spacing is not used consistently between lines. There is often more than one space between sentences. Paragraphs are not indented five spaces. 		 Double-spacing is used between all lines. There is only one space between sentences. Paragraphs are indented five spaces. 	
Headings	 Headings are not present or are formatted inappropriately. One or more heading is alone at the bottom of a page. 	 A minor formatting error or two are noted in the headings. No headings are left alone at the bottom of a page. 	 Appropriate APA level headings are used. No headings are left alone at the bottom of a page. 	

I rate the overall quality of this literature review to be:

Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent	Numeric Score
□ F (0-216)	□ C (217-228) □ C+ (229-237)	□ B- (238-246) □ B (247-258) □ B+ (259-267)	□ A- (268-282) □ A (283-300)	/300

Additional Comments: