## **Annual Program Assessment Report for Academic Departments**

ELEMENT	Exemplary	Established	Developing	Undeveloped
Implemented Improvements Based on Previous Reviewers' Feedback	Provides clear and concrete evidence of how improvements from the previous assessment <i>review</i> were implemented. This may include improvements made as a result of assessment or improvements made to the department's assessment processes.  Comments:	Some but not all of the recommendations for improving the department's assessment process were implemented. If action was not taken when warranted, a reasonable explanation is given as for why.	The report acknowledged feedback from previous reviews and outlined a plan for implementing these suggestions. However, the changes have not yet been fully implemented.	Feedback from reviewers from previous assessment cycles does not appear to have been considered for this cycle, and there is little to no explanation for why this is so
Student Learning Goals	Goals are clearly articulated, observable, and measurable. They are congruent with the department's mission. Learning outcomes are clear.  Comments:	Goals are observable and measurable, but the language of some is vague. Each goal is appropriate to the department's mission. The desired outcomes may lack clarity.	The goals are targets, not measurable goals. As such, they are not necessarily measurable.	Most of the goals are unclear, not measurable, and/or inadequate for meaningful assessment.
Plan for Student Learning Assessment	The program has a sustainable assessment plan that describes when and how each learning goal will be assessed and how improvements based on findings will be implemented. Plan is based on thoughtful inquiry into student learning.  Comments:	The program has an assessment plan but does not indicate how improvements will be implemented and assessed. The plan may not be sustainable and does not seem to be informed by inquiry into student learning.	The program has an assessment plan, but not all of the learning goals are included in the plan. Assessment does not appear to be ongoing or systematic in the program.	The program lacks a formal plan for assessing the student learning goals; it relies on short-term planning, such as selecting the goal or course to assess in the current year.

Student Learning Assessment Methods and Targets	Multiple methods that align with learning goals are used to assess student learning. Methods are mostly direct When warranted, student learning is assessed at multiple points in the curriculum. Targets and/or benchmarks are clearly indicated and reflect reasonable but challenging expectations.	Assessment methods align with the learning goals, but not all goals are measured by multiple methods. Targets and/or benchmarks are identified, but it is not clear how they were determined.	Most of the methods are indirect or non-specific (e.g. "exam"). Assessment tools are vague, poorly defined, and targets/benchmarks not indicated.	There is no clear relationship between the goals and the assessment methods. Targets are not specified, and measures are not acceptable for good assessment. (E.g. course grades)	
	Comments:				
	·				
Student Learning	Program-level results are clearly	Clear and well-organized	Program-level results are	No evidence of assessment	
Assessment Results	presented and easy to follow.	discussion of results is presented.	presented, but the presentation is	results is reported, or the	
and Analysis	They relate directly to the goals being measured. Results are specific enough to indicate strengths and weaknesses; they show precisely where and how students are performing at or beyond expectations and where they are performing below expectations. Supporting evidence is attached.	Some results are incomplete or findings are not yet available, and it is not entirely clear how the results have been interpreted or what they mean to the department. Trends or patterns, even when appropriate, are not noted. Supporting evidence is included.	difficult to follow or the results are summative and do not identify specific areas of strength or areas where improvement is needed. There is little analysis of findings, and no interpretation is provided. Little supporting evidence is included.	evidence is so general and so brief, it does not report anything meaningful.	
	Comments:  No assessments were completed from 2022-2023.				
Action Plans: Using &	Evidence demonstrates that	Evidence suggests that	An action plan has been identified,	No evidence that the	
Sharing Assessment	assessment-based discussions	assessment-based discussions	but it is not clear how it resulted	department is using assessment	
Results	have led to action or	have considered action, but these	from assessment findings or	findings to inform planning or	
	recommendations have been	actions lack specificity or are	assessment-based discussions.	continuous improvement.	
	enacted. Improvements are program level, not course level,	confined to a single course or assessment method—i.e. they	No explanation provided when the report concludes that no		
	and concern curriculum or	are not really program level. The	action is required.		

	T				
	pedagogy. Results are shared	program indicated a resource			
	with key stakeholders external to	need based on assessment			
	the department.	results, but did not indicate how			
		the need might be addressed.			
	Comments:				
			1		
Operational Goals &	Goals are clearly articulated and	Goals are clearly articulated, but	Goals are articulated, but the	Goals are more of a process or	
Evidence	measurable; they are assessed by	there is an over-reliance on one	language is vague. There is a lack	action step than an outcome;	
	valid measures, and solid	assessment method. Evidence	of alignment between the goals	questionable conclusions are	
	evidence indicates the extent to	that the goals have been	and the supporting evidence.	made regarding the extent to	
	which the goals have been	achieved may be subjective.		which the goals were achieved	
	achieved.	Further documentation might be		_	
		required.			
	Comments:				
Operational Planning	Planned improvements are	The connection between the	Action plans are identified, but	No operational plan indicated.	
& Resource Needs	clearly identified; they are	action plan and/or resource	they are vague and non-specific.		
	specific and relate directly to	request and the assessment	Plans may not be clearly linked to		
	assessment findings. Action	results or other evidence is not	evidence or assessment results.		
	plans are appropriate given	readily apparent.			
	current resources and	7 1 1 1			
	demonstrated need.				
	Comments:	<u> </u>	1	I.	
	Comments.				

Recommendations for assessment process: